

~~28 to 34 (7 Reports)~~

~~List of Studies Slaves~~

ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ಸರ್ಕಾರ

(20)

ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ: ಅಪಜೀ 145 ಎಫ್‌ಎಪಿ 2018

ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಸಚಿವಾಲಯ
ಬಹುಮಹಡಿ ಕಟ್ಟಡ
ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು, ದಿನಾಂಕ: 18.01.2019

ರವರಿಂದ,

ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಅಪರ ಮುಖ್ಯ ಕಾರ್ಯದರ್ಶಿಗಳು
ಅರಣ್ಯ, ಪರಿಸರ ಮತ್ತು ಜೀವಿಶಾಸ್ತ್ರ ಇಲಾಖೆ
ಬಹುಮಹಡಿ ಕಟ್ಟಡ, ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು.

ರವರಿಗೆ,

ಮುಖ್ಯ ಮೌಲ್ಯಮಾಪನಾಧಿಕಾರಿ,
ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ಮೌಲ್ಯಮಾಪನ ಪ್ರಾಧಿಕಾರ,
5ನೇ ಮಹಡಿ, 2ನೇ ಹಂತ, ಬಹುಮಹಡಿ ಕಟ್ಟಡ,
ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು.

ಮಾನ್ಯರೆ,

ವಿಷಯ: ಅರಣ್ಯ ಇಲಾಖೆಯ ಮೌಲ್ಯಮಾಪನ ಅಧ್ಯಯನ ವರದಿಗಳಿಗೆ
ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದಂತೆ ಕೈಗೊಂಡಿರುವ ಕ್ರಮಗಳ ವರದಿಯ ಕುರಿತು

ಉಲ್ಲೇಖ: ತಮ್ಮ ಪತ್ರ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ: ಕೆಇಎ 51 ಎಟಿಆರ್(ಮೌಅ) 2018, ದಿನಾಂಕ:
05.10.2018

ಮೇಲ್ಕಂಡ ವಿಷಯಕ್ಕೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದಂತೆ, ಉಲ್ಲೇಖಿತದಲ್ಲಿ ಕೋರಿರುವಂತೆ 2009-10 ರಿಂದ 2013-14ನೇ ಸಾಲಿನವರೆಗಿನ CAMPA, 13th Finance Commission, NAP-FDA, NBM, SCP and TSP ಆರು ಯೋಜನೆಗಳ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಅರಣ್ಯ ಇಲಾಖೆಯ ಅನುಪಾಲನಾ ವರದಿ(Action Taken Report)ನ್ನು ಈ ಪತ್ರದೊಂದಿಗೆ ಲಗತ್ತಿಸಿ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿಕೊಡಲು ನಿರ್ದೇಶಿಸಲ್ಪಟ್ಟಿದ್ದೇನೆ.

ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ಮೌಲ್ಯಮಾಪನ ಪ್ರಾಧಿಕಾರ
ಸ್ವೀಕೃತಿ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ 258/02
ದಿನಾಂಕ 19/1/18
ಗಣಕ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ
ದಿನಾಂಕ

CE/CTT
18/1/2019

ತಮ್ಮ ನಂಬುಗೆಯ,

Ramk U N.
18/1/2019
(ಕೆ.ಆರ್.ರಮೇಶ್)

ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಅಧೀನ ಕಾರ್ಯದರ್ಶಿ(ಪ್ರ),
ಅರಣ್ಯ, ಪರಿಸರ ಮತ್ತು ಜೀವಿಶಾಸ್ತ್ರ ಇಲಾಖೆ

51 No. (28)
(37)
(28)
(31)
(39)
(34)
(29)
(30)

02/15
 (28)

Status Report
on Action Taken Report on Recommendations in Evaluation Report of KEA

I. Title of the Evaluation Study: Evaluation study of Forestry Program – Unit 2 - 2009 to 2013- Report - 13th Finance.

Department: Forest Department. [ATR Date: 18-09-2017]

ECO: Hyderabad Karnataka Center for Advanced Learning (HKCL).

Sl. No.	Recommendation of the Study	Action Taken by the Department	Status <i>Implemented/ Being Implemented/ Partially Implemented/ Not Implemented/ Policy Decisions/ General Recommendations.</i>	Remarks/ Comments.
1.	The TFC must allocate funds according to the formula suggested by the TFC based on the forest cover and ecological service of each Circle/division as the criteria. Why TFC invest on raising fresh plantation as its objective is to protect and safeguard the natural forests, Wildlife and mitigation of degradation impacts and on the climate change aspects.	Action taken report provided as per letter no. ಎ3/ಮುಅಸಂ/ಮೌಮಾ/ಸಿಆರ್ - 01/2017-18 dated 18 th Sep 2017 by Forest Department includes reports by Deputy Conservator of Forests of the respective forest divisions.	Policy Decision <i>(Not relevant any more.)</i>	The scheme has ended. No funds have been allocated under this scheme in current years Hence the recommendations are relevant, at best, only for future guidance.
2.	The plantation models like eviction of encroachment, gap areas, logged areas and the maintenance of older plantations (maintaining 8 year old eucalyptus plantation?) was like hair splitting. An investment guidelines based on the TFC must be drawn up before making allocation of funds.		Same as above	Same as above
3.	Investment on new fire line formation and fire protection was not verifiable However, the Internal monitoring for the annual works must be done as most of the operations may be either not required or redundant.		Not Practicable Recommendation <i>(Factually incorrect observation)</i>	There is a robust mechanism for verification of work done for fire-lines. MB/FNB is

ಅವು ಪ್ರಧಾನ ಮಂತ್ರಿ ಸರ್ಕಾರದಿಂದ
 ಮೌಲ್ಯ ಮಾಪನ, ಇಂಟರ್ ಮೀಡಿಯೆಟ್
 ಸಂಶೋಧನೆ ಮತ್ತು ಪರಿಸರ, ಹೈದರಾಬಾದ್
 22 NOV 2018
 ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ:

3/21

				recorded as per the established procedures. Hence the observation is factually incorrect.
4.	The choice of species may be rationalized based on the site requirement by focusing on the native species than <i>Acacia auriculiformis</i> .		Not Practicable Recommendation <i>(Not relevant any more.)</i>	The scheme has ended. No funds have been allocated under this scheme in current years. Hence the recommendations are relevant, at best, only for future guidance.
5.	The activities under TFC are too many resulting in very thin spread of investment which may not have desirable impact on the departmental programs. It should focus much on the compensatory plantations by spending 70% Investment and rest on the natural forests management.		Not Practicable Recommendation <i>(This recommendation is in contradiction with the recommendation mentioned in serial number 1 of above)</i>	The scheme has ended. No funds have been allocated under this scheme in current years. Hence the recommendations are relevant, at best, only for future guidance.
6.	Expenditure on the building maintenance and vehicles should not be a major activity		Policy Decision <i>(Not relevant any more.)</i>	The scheme has ended. No funds have been allocated under this scheme in current years. Hence the recommendations are relevant, at best, only for future guidance.
7.	The Investment on the research and wildlife is inadequate needs to be balanced.		Policy Decision <i>(Not relevant any more.)</i>	The scheme has ended. No funds have been allocated under this scheme in current years. Hence the

A				recommendations are relevant, at best, only for future guidance.
8.	The natural forests, bio-diversity conservation, enrichment planting and research programs needs to be given priority.		Policy Decision <i>(Not relevant any more.)</i>	The scheme has ended. No funds have been allocated under this scheme in current years. Hence the recommendations are relevant, at best, only for future guidance.
9.	Plantation size: Many compensatory plantations have been raised are very small in size (less than 5 ha). This may not be viable to maintain and protect. It is better to aggregate the smaller units into a viable size of at least 10 ha to raise plantations.		General Recommendation <i>(Factually incorrect. Recommendation No: 1 strives for no plantations whereas this recommendation talks of plantation!)</i>	No compensatory plantations were raised in this scheme. Further the scheme has ended. No funds have been allocated under this scheme in current years. Hence the recommendations are relevant, at best, only for future guidance.
10.	The plantation on degraded Sites must be well defined as In many places well stocked areas have been planted up.		Policy Decision <i>(Not relevant any more.)</i>	The scheme has ended. No funds have been allocated under this scheme in current years. Hence the recommendations are relevant, at best, only for future guidance.


11.	The site clearance for raising plantation was noticed in some areas which needs to be avoided		<p>Not Practicable Recommendation (Conceptually incorrect)</p>	<p>Site clearing is an inherent part of the plantation activity. Hence the observation is erroneous.</p> <p>The scheme has ended. No funds have been allocated under this scheme in current years.</p>
12.	Choice of species: The species choice was very mechanical and there was no effort to match the Sites		<p>Policy Decision (Not relevant any more.)</p>	<p>The scheme has ended. No funds have been allocated under this scheme in current years. Hence the recommendations are relevant, at best, only for future guidance.</p>
13.	<i>Acacia auriculiformis</i> is planted as core species in many compensatory plantations in high rainfall areas which may be completely avoided. Native species mix is the best option		<p>Not Practicable Recommendation (Conceptually incorrect)</p>	<p>While paying attention to <i>Acacia auriculiformis</i>, the success of native species has been not kept into account.</p> <p>Further the scheme has ended. No funds have been allocated under this scheme in current years. Hence the recommendations are</p>

				relevant, at best, only for future guidance.
14.	The SMC works are done very unscientifically. A guideline may be necessary to design and structure the works by estimating the quantum of water that could be impounded to be done. The Contour maps are to be used to locate the structure. The planning processes to regulate the SMC works are necessary.		General Recommendation	The scheme has ended. No funds have been allocated under this scheme in current years. Hence the recommendations are relevant, at best, only for future guidance.
15.	The protection measures were not effective in many places. It is essential to provide maintenance provisions for five years so that the purpose is well achieved.		Policy Decision	Whether the plantation needs to be maintained for five years is a policy decision. Further the scheme has also ended. No funds have been allocated under this scheme in current years. Hence the recommendations are relevant, at best, only for future guidance.
16.	The plantation care and maintenance needs to be done for minimum five years. The investment must be done to ensure success of the plantations.		Same as above	Same as above
17.	TFC must set a very successful model of plantation through innovations and higher investment as there is no cap on the unit cost.		General Recommendation	The scheme has also ended. No funds have been allocated under this scheme in current years.

28

			Hence the recommendations are relevant, at best, only for future guidance.
18.	Three year assessment is too early to judge the success. There should be five year interval evaluation twice to make a meaningful evaluation.		Unwarranted Recommendation An executing agency has little say in the process of evaluation!
19.	The internal evaluation needs to be strengthened and the database must be established to monitor the changes.		Same as above

Abstract

Study Name: Evaluation study of Forestry Program – Unit 2 - 2009 to 2013- Report - 13th Finance.	
Status	To be filled up by the Department
Implemented	0
Partially Implemented	0
Not Implemented	0
Being Implemented	0
Policy Decisions	9
General / Unwarranted / Not Practicable Recommendations.	10
Total No. Of Recommendations	19
Seal and Signature	 Addl. Principal Chief Conservator of Forests (Projects), Bangalore